

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,272	10/24/2003	Jordon D. Honeck	P11374.00	2358 XAMINER
27581 7590 07/06/2006 MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			EXAMINER	
		,	BOCKELMAN, MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\mathcal{P}$
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	0.0
		10/693,272	HONECK, JORDON	N D.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mark W. Bockelman	3766	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	lress
A SH WHIII - Extending aftender - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[7]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
		_· action is non-final.		
'—	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	merits is
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under E			mento io
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.			
.,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration		
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-44 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			R 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 55 5.5.5. 3 1 15(a)	(d) 51 (1).	
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage
	application from the International Bureau			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.	
Attachmen	• •	_		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa		152)
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-41, drawn to a lead holder, classified in class 606, subclass.
- II. Claims 42-44 drawn to a method of placing a lead, classified in class 607, subclass 116.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the lead holder could be used for holding other items to be inserted into the body.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Upon the election of either group 1 or 2 above, the examiner further requires the following election of species.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species I figures 1-4

Species II - figure 6

The species are independent or distinct because they are disclosed as alternative embodiments.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272 -6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**MWB** 

June 23, 2006

MARK BOCKELMAN DRIMARY EXAMINER